

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/330,016	•	06/11/1999	HIROSHI YAMAZAKI	1185.1047/JD	8878	
21171	7590	12/29/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
STAAS &	HALSEY	LLP	NGUYEN, DUNG T			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2871		
				DATE MAILED: 12/29/200	DATE MAILED: 12/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/330,016	YAMAZAKI ET AL.						
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	4.1					
	Dung Nguyen	2871	Aw					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 16 December 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper replication is a proper replication.	oly to a cation in					
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The definition of the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the date from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the han SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distance of the di	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in					
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 December 2003</u> 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to the proposed amendment (s). 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	•	et forth in					
		(and NOTE below)						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed	d amendment					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		sidered but does NO	OT place the					
 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 		to issues which we	ere newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows);							
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) ap	proved or b)☐ disapproved by	the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement 10. Other:	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	tulue						
		Dung Nguyen Patent Examiner Art Unit: 2871						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the device claims 1-9 do not define over the art of record. Applicants' amendment and response have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

^